



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/540,840

01/05/2006

Yoshinobu Morimoto

Q88695

2260

65565 7590 07/30/2008  
SUGHRUE-265550  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER

MERCIER, MELISSA S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1615

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/30/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/540,840	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MORIMOTO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MELISSA S. MERCIER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1615	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MELISSA S. MERCIER.

(3) Jennifer Hayes.

(2) Michael Woodward.

(4) N/A.

Date of Interview: 01 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ancira (US 2004/0137077) and Bundgaard (US 5,073,641).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms Hayes present arguments regarding a laundry list of melatonin inhibitors with thousands of possible combinations. The examiner disagrees with Ms Hayes arguments and is of the position that a finite grouping of inhibitors is present and it would therefore be obvious to select 2 from the list. Ms Hayes states a possible amendment limiting the formulation will be oral administered may be presented.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/MP WOODWARD/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615

/Melissa S Mercier/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1615

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required